From: Nesci, Kimberly [Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 4/27/2021 9:31:05 PM

To: Nesci, Kimberly [Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov]; Driscoll, Stacie [Driscoll.Stacie@epa.gov]; Messina, Edward

[Messina.Edward@epa.gov]; Henry, Tala [Henry.Tala@epa.gov]; Goodis, Michael [Goodis.Michael@epa.gov];

Nguyen, Thuy [Nguyen.Thuy@epa.gov]; Qian, Yaorong [qian.yaorong@epa.gov]; Teter, Royan

[Teter.Royan@epa.gov]; Saenz, Diana [Saenz.Diana@epa.gov]; Aubee, Catherine [Aubee.Catherine@epa.gov];

Anderson, Neil [Anderson.Neil@epa.gov]; Lara, Rhina [Lara.Rhina@epa.gov]; Ozmen, Shamus

[Ozmen.Shamus@epa.gov]; Dennis, Allison [Dennis.Allison@epa.gov]; Keigwin, Richard [Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov];

Picone, Kaitlin [Picone.Kaitlin@epa.gov]; Charlton, Tom [Charlton.Tom@epa.gov]; Rodman, Sonja

[Rodman.Sonja@epa.gov]; Garvey, Mark [Garvey.Mark@epa.gov]; Miles, James [miles.james@epa.gov]; Odusote, Gloria [odusote.gloria@epa.gov]; ONeill, Sandra [ONeill.Sandra@epa.gov]; Butler, Tristan [Butler.Tristan@epa.gov]; Schmit, Ryan [schmit.ryan@epa.gov]; Dunton, Cheryl [Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov]; Koch, Erin [Koch.Erin@epa.gov];

Wolf, Joel [Wolf.Joel@epa.gov]; Kaczmarek, Chris [Kaczmarek.Chris@epa.gov]; Cyran, Carissa

[Cyran.Carissa@epa.gov]; Leifer, Kerry [Leifer.Kerry@epa.gov]; Widawsky, David [Widawsky.David@epa.gov]; Helfgott, Daniel [Helfgott.Daniel@epa.gov]; Huskey, Angela [Huskey.Angela@epa.gov]; Dawson, Jeffrey [Dawson.Jeff@epa.gov]; Garcia, Beth [garcia.beth@epa.gov]; Aunkst, Dana [aunkst.dana@epa.gov]; Stroyeck,

Lauren [Stroyeck.Lauren@epa.gov]; Williams, Bridget [Williams.Bridget@epa.gov]; Larkin, Jenna

[larkin.jenna@epa.gov]; Winters, Melissa [Winters.Melissa@epa.gov]; Forman, Debra [forman.debra@epa.gov];

Schwarz, Stephanie [Schwarz.Stephanie@epa.gov]; Lloyd, Tyler [Lloyd.Tyler@epa.gov]; Wen, Chen

[Wen.Chen@epa.gov]; Williamson, Tracy [Williamson.Tracy@epa.gov]

Subject: OCSPP/OECA PFAS Check-In - 4/27/2021

# OCSPP/OECA PFAS Check-In - 4/27/2021

Tuesday, April 27, 2021 4:18 PM

## **Participants**

#### Method work -

- Oily matrix the method looks good
  - Package to be posted
  - Preliminary results
  - Internal QA review; package together with method written up & performance criteria.
- Leaching testing
  - Lower level leaching into water than methanol
  - But some PFAS did leach into water (weak solvent in the container). If water alone is "pulling" some PFAS from the containers, then surfactant will also do so and make the water more likely to pull more PFAS.
- Massachusetts products We have about 20 samples of 10 mosquito control products. Starting tomorrow; expect preliminary results next week
- Call with Bayer on Permanone 30-30
  - Discussed Peer data results

- Requested samples from Bayer (product from production line; product stored in containers; and containers themselves). Stored in metal containers with a plastic top - Bayer confirmed. Requested a product stored in an unopened container and a clean, unused container.
- We requested, Bayer scientists supported, but we haven't heard back on whether/when they'll send samples.
- We explained how to do it (types of containers that are PFAS-free)
- Question from Mark Garvey to clarify what we're posting
- Question from Royan how are we going to use the lab results once we have them? Where are the Bayer samples coming from? Discussions about how to handle chain of custody.
- Question from Beth Garcia Don't know yet when we're getting the sample. MDA conducting
  adulticiding next month in So.MD. Getting a lot of pressure from some to not treat. Also rec'd an inquiry
  from Bloomberg news.
  - Have they/are they planning to contact Bayer directly. Beth to ask.

# OPP/Registration Division OPP/IO

# OCSPP/OPS/Comms

- Rhina giving updates on the communication side. Bloomberg law/Pat R. asking; going through OGC review. Will share article once posted. Timing - hoping to get something out by Wednesday (editors back to her editor by Wednesday)
- Phone call with MN department of Agriculture might be good to have that call before sending the response in writing. Who to include in the call? Include the region in the call, too (Sandra & Beth).
- Web updates still being reviewed by Cheryl questions from lawyers
- When would be a good timeline to start thinking of a possible announcement?
  - Timeframe ~6 weeks

### OPS/ICRB

No updates.

#### OPPT - Tracy & Madison

- Even though OPPT will continue to review PFAS in low volume exemptions, OPPT is likely to deny them (shift in the new chemical program). Michal speech at an Earth Day event FYI for this group.
- 3 OPPT divisions are working closely with OECA to coordinate on authorities bringing everything together on one comprehensive report - briefing up to Tala and Michal - where OPPT stands in terms of authority. Technical questions & legal question. Should there be break out sections on technical/regulatory analysis.
  - Regulatory assessment what is/isn't available to us
  - Then determine the next steps.
- OPPT Dan H.
  - PFOA not being intentionally manufactured in the US, but may be unintentionally produced.
  - No update to container research
  - Meeting with a company that may have an alternative will be discussing internally.

#### OCSPP IO

- Dawson Taking on more of a coordination role
- Ax forming an agency wide, office-level smaller group. Jeff D to be the rep for OCSPP.
- · Administrator announcement on PFAS. Jeff sent link.
- Information from Environmental Protection Network (EPA Alumnae)

- Some discussions with ORD group starting to work on an approach hazard testing strategy; use a chemical dashboard to overlay chemicals of concern.
  - Kerry L has been reaching out to ORD on the operational definition issue. Kerry to connect with Jeff to make sure we're all working in the same direction
- Definition is one of the first things they'll be discussing at a higher level.
- Jeff representing AA-ship (FIFRA and TSCA).

#### **OECA**

- Mark Garvey
  - Working to obtain information from ; CBI protections
  - By Monday, Scott spoke to coordinate how to send it.
  - Working to get FDA access to the data.
- Royan
  - Clarke farther out from submitting a plan than OECA initially thought
  - Working with a waste management company
  - · Few weeks away from receiving a plan.
  - Syngenta filed an e-disclosure notifying that they've been using a mup that is being dried to a power fluorinated compound added to inhibit crystallization. Used to formulate 2 end use products. Some concern that ultimately they will find the presence of PFAS. Plans to conduct chemical analyses. Tolerance for fluorinated product was available at one time, since been revoked. May not be reflected on the CSF. No tolerance in place. Interested in chatting with lab folks. Stopped distributing all products at issue. Waiting for chemical analyses. May be other products in the mix as well (as far as syngenta products go).

# Regional updates.

MDA getting questions on Permanone -

Crealed with OneNote.